

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,669	09/19/2003	Tim Tuan	X-1462 US	8241	
24309 7	590 11/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
XILINX, INC			CHANG, D	CHANG, DANIEL D	
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2100 LOGIC DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95124			2819		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,669	TUAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel D. Chang	2819			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on go This action is FINAL . 2b)	07 September 2005. This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>26-37</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>33-37</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> allowed. Claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. Claim(s) <u>are</u> subject to restriction a son Papers The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	nd/or election requirement.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey prection is required if the drawing	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	• •		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docun 2. Certified copies of the priority docun 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busiee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National	l Stage		
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)			
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species I (Fig. 4), claims 26-32, is acknowledged.

Claims 33-37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species II (Fig. 5), there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on September 7, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavana et al. (US 5,682,107) in view of Houston (US 5,615,162).

Regarding claim 26, Tavana discloses a programmable logic device (100 in Fig. 1) comprising: a plurality of resources logically subdivided into a plurality of programmable logic blocks (101 in Fig. 1 and more specifically CLB 301 in Fig. 4A); a first voltage supply terminal (not specifically shown but inherent for each of CLB 301, see col. 4, lines 23+) configured to receive a first supply voltage (VCC in Fig. 1);

Houston teaches a plurality of first switch elements (40, 42, 44), wherein each first switch element is coupled between one of the memory blocks (32, 34, 36) and the first voltage supply

Application/Control Number: 10/666,669

Art Unit: 2819

terminal (col. 3, lines 35+) for the purpose of selectively providing power or no power to the memory blocks.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the programmable logic blocks of Tavana with the first switch elements as taught by Houston in order to selectively provide power to the programmable logic blocks.

Regarding claim 32, Houston discloses in Fig. 3, that each first switch element comprises a transistor (40, 42, 44).

Claims 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over modified Tavana in view of Keeth (US 5,946,257).

Regarding claim 27, the modified programmable logic device of Tavana as applied to claim 26 teaches all the features of the claimed invention and also teaches a second voltage supply terminal (not specifically shown but inherent for each of CLB 301, see col. 4, lines 23+) configured to receive a second supply voltage (GND) but does not disclose a plurality of second switch elements, wherein each second switch element is coupled between one of the programmable logic blocks and the second voltage supply terminal.

Keeth teaches a second switch element (919 in Fig. 9) coupled between the logic block (928, 930) and ground along with a first switch (918) coupled between the first supply voltage and the logic block for the purpose of selectively providing power to the logic block.

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the logic block of modified Tavana with the

Application/Control Number: 10/666,669

Art Unit: 2819

second switch between the logic block and ground as taught by Keeth in order to selectively provide power to the logic block.

Regarding claim 28, Keeth discloses in Fig. 9, a control circuit (916) coupled to the plurality of first switch elements (918), wherein the control circuit is configured to provide a plurality of control signals (output of 942 connected to 919 and output of 944 connected to 918; see col. 10, lines 11+) for controlling the plurality of first switch elements.

Regarding claim 29, Keeth discloses in Fig. 9, that the control circuit (916) comprises a plurality of configuration memory cells (947) configured to store a corresponding plurality of configuration data values (col. 10, lines 29), wherein the control circuit provides the plurality of control signals (output of 942 connected to 919 and output of 944 connected to 918; see col. 10, lines 11+) in response to the plurality of configuration data values.

Regarding claim 30, Keeth discloses in Fig. 9, that the control circuit (916) further comprises a plurality of user control terminals (CONTROL; see abstract) configured to receive a corresponding plurality of user control signals, wherein the control circuit (916) further provides the plurality of control signals (output of 942 connected to 919 and output of 944 connected to 918; see col. 10, lines 11+) in response to the plurality of user control signals.

Regarding claim 31, Keeth discloses in Fig. 9, that the control circuit (916) comprises a plurality of user control terminals (CONTROL; see abstract) configured to receive a corresponding plurality of user control signals, wherein the control circuit (916) provides the plurality of control signals (output of 942 connected to 919 and output of 944 connected to 918; see col. 10, lines 11+) in response to the plurality of user control signals.

Art Unit: 2819

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed May 18, 2005 with respect to claims 26-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rexford Barnie can be reached on (571) 272-7492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Daniel D. Chang **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2819